# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

JOHN WARD,	)	
Plaintiff,	) ) ) No.	
VS.	)	
CREDIT BUREAU COLLECTION SERVICES, INC. d/b/a CBCS	) ) ) JURY DEMAND ENDORSED HEREO	ON
Defendant	<i>)</i> )	

### **COMPLAINT**

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, JOHN WARD, by and through his attorneys, LUXENBURG & LEVIN, LLC, and for his Complaint against the Defendant, CREDIT BUREAU COLLECTION SERVICES, INC. d/b/a CBCS, Plaintiff alleges and states as follows:

# **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter the "FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.*, and the Ohio Consumer Sales Practices Act (hereinafter the "OCSPA"), Ohio Rev. Code § 1345.01, *et seq.* 

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. Jurisdiction arises under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq*. Venue lies properly in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within this District.

#### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff is an individual who was at all relevant times residing in Elida, Ohio.

- 4. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), as he is a natural person allegedly obligated to pay a debt.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant acted as a "debt collector" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), in that it held itself out to be a company collecting a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation of the State of Ohio which has its principal place of business in Columbus, Ohio.

# **COUNT I**

(Violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act)

- 7. On or about October 8, 2007, representatives of Defendant began contacting Plaintiff by telephone in attempts to collect an alleged debt. Such contact continued through at least January of 2010.
- 8. On some occasions, the aforementioned telephone calls were placed before 8:00 a.m. and after 9:00 p.m.
- 9. During at least one of the aforementioned telephone calls, Defendant's representative threatened to garnish Plaintiff's wages, although no legal action had yet been initiated against Plaintiff.
- 10. During many of the aforementioned telephone calls, it was discovered that another individual also named John Ward was actually the correct individual who owed the alleged debt. When Plaintiff brought this fact to the attention of Defendant's representatives, Plaintiff was often assured that Defendant would cease its communications with him.

- 11. Despite Plaintiff's repeated explanations that Defendant was attempting to collect the alleged debt from the wrong John Ward, the telephone calls from Defendant's representatives to Plaintiff continued unabated.
- 12. In its attempts to collect the aforementioned alleged debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Calling Plaintiff before 8:00 a.m. and after 9:00 p.m., in violation of 15
     U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1);
  - b. Causing a telephone to ring or engaging Plaintiff in conversation repeatedly and continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse or harass, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5);
  - c. Representing or implying that nonpayment of the alleged debt would result in the garnishment of Plaintiff's wages where such action was unlawful and/or Defendant did not intend to take such action, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(4);
  - d. Falsely representing the character, amount or legal status of the alleged debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A); and
  - e. By acting in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner and failing to comply with the FDCPA.
- 13. As a result of Defendant's violations as aforesaid, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JOHN WARD, respectfully prays for a judgment against Defendant as follows:

a. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation of the FDCPA;

- All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation
   costs incurred by Plaintiff; and
- c. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

#### **COUNT II**

(Violation of the Ohio Consumer Sales Practices Act)

- 14. Plaintiff hereby adopts, re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations set forth above as though fully rewritten here.
- 15. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt from Plaintiff as described above constitute a "consumer transaction" as defined in Ohio Rev. Code § 1345.01(A).
- 16. Defendant is a "supplier" as defined in Ohio Rev. Code § 1345.01(C), as Defendant is in the business of effecting or soliciting consumer transactions.
- 17. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in Ohio Rev. Code § 1345.01(C), as he is a person who engaged in a consumer transaction with a supplier, the Defendant herein.
- 18. Defendant's actions and omissions described above constitute unfair, deceptive and unconscionable acts and practices, in violation of Ohio Rev. Code §§ 1345.02 and 1345.03, and the substantive rules promulgated under the OCSPA.
- 19. Defendant, through its agents and employees, knowingly committed the unfair, deceptive and unconscionable acts and practices described above.
- 20. As a result of Defendant's unfair, deceptive and unconscionable acts and practices, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, various damages which include, but are not limited to the categories of damages described above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JOHN WARD, respectfully prays for a judgment against Defendant as follows:

- a. Statutory damages of \$200.00 for each violation of the OCSPA;
- All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation
   costs incurred by Plaintiff; and
- c. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Pursuant to Civil Rule 38, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues in this action, except for any issues relating to the amount of attorneys' fees and litigation costs to be awarded should Plaintiff prevail on any of his claims in this action.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ David B. Levin
David B. Levin (0059340)
Mitchel E. Luxenburg (0071239)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Luxenburg & Levin, LLC
23240 Chagrin Blvd.
Suite 601
Beachwood, OH 44122-5452
(888) 595-9111, ext. 711 (phone)
(866) 382-0092 (facsimile)
dlevin@attorneysforconsumers.com